**Introduction**

Both dissection and ultrasound demonstrate that the structure of the masseter muscle is very complex, composed of three distinct parts and organized in layers (1): the superficial masseter is formed by two layers (internal and external), the middle masseter has only one layer and the deep masseter has three separate layers (outward, central and inward). When speaking of the function of the muscle we generally refer to it as a whole and not as separate parts. The main functions it is responsible for are the elevation of the mandible and the generation of considerable force during the clenching of the dental arches, which can be as high as 90/100 kg per cm² (Figure 1).

The objective of the following study is to observe the behavior of the six layers of the masseter during an isometric contraction at maximum exertion (2) with the deformation pattern analysis method. The literature often postulates that the deep masseter has a pre-

---

**SUMMARY**

**Purpose.** The objective of the following study is to observe the behavior of the six layers of the masseter during an isometric contraction at maximum exertion with the deformation pattern analysis method.

**Materials and methods.** This study has been conducted by use of an ultrasound machine (MicrUs EXT-1H Telemed UAB, Vilnius, Lithuania) and a linear probe (L12-5L40S-3 5-12 MHz 40 mm) which allowed us to record a video (DCM) comprised of 45 frames per second. The probe was fixed to a brace and the patient was asked to clench their teeth as hard as possible, obtain the muscle’s maximum exertion, for 5 seconds three times, with 30 seconds intervals in between. Both right and left masseter muscles were analyzed. Then we applied to the resulting video a software (Mudy 1.7.7.2 AMID Sulmona Italy) for the analysis of muscle deformation patterns (contraction, dilatation, cross-plane, vertical strain, horizontal strain, vertical shear, horizontal shear, horizontal displacement, vertical displacement). The number of videos of masseter muscles in contraction at maximum exertion due to dental clenching made during this research is around 12,000. Out of these we chose 1,200 videos which examine 200 patients (100 female, 100 male).

**Results.** The analysis of the deformation patterns of the masseter allows us to observe how the six layers of the muscle have different and specific functions each, which vary depending on the applied force (application point, magnitude and direction) so that we find it impossible to assign to one of the three sections of the muscle a mechanical predominance. Therefore it appears that the three parts of the muscle have specific and synergistic tasks.

**Key words:** Masseter muscle, ultrasound, strain, deformation analysis pattern method.
dominant role in the performance of its functions, so much so that it has often been referred to as the most important mechanical part of the muscle. This is in fact an accurate description if one refers to its involvement in the regulating of the mechanical functions of the temporo-mandibular joint, but we do not believe it has been scientifically proven whether this description can be applied to its ability to generate a certain amount of force during the clenching of the arches or in a masticatory or swallowing cycle.

**Materials and methods**

This study has been conducted by use of an ultrasound machine (MicrUs EXT-1H Telemed UAB, Vilnius, Lithuania) and a linear probe (L12-5L40S-3 5-12 MHz 40 mm) which allowed us to record a video (DCM) comprised of 45 frames per second. The probe was fixed to a brace and the patient was asked to clench their teeth as hard as possible, obtain the muscle’s maximum exertion, for 5 seconds three times, with 30 seconds intervals in between. Both right and left mas-seter muscles were analyzed.

During this procedure the patients were seating down on a dentists’ chair with their head leaning on the headrest. The section of the muscle chosen is that in which the greatest possible expansion and the best view of the muscle layers during the contraction were visible. Said section was then marked on the patient’s skin using an L shaped ruler that allows us to mark the bottom edge of the mandible. Then we applied to the resulting video a software (Mudy 1.7.7.2 AMID Sulmona Italy) for the analysis of muscle deformation patterns (contraction, dilatation, cross-plane, vertical strain, horizontal strain, vertical shear, horizontal shear, horizontal displacement, vertical displacement). During the contraction some sections of the muscle dilate and others clench. The strain, shear and displacement patterns describe the recorded phenomena analyzing the movement of the points that form the two-dimensional ultrasound image with respect to two axes, horizontal and vertical. The cross-plane pattern adds the third dimension indicating the movement of those same points in cross-section. The compression and dilatation patterns show the global movement of all the points on the two axes. The qualitative analysis of the deformation patterns was done by contouring the resulting chromatic areas and comparing them to the Region Of Interest (ROI) obtained from the underlying anatomical parts. The quantitative analysis was attained by examining the curves that show the strain in relation to the time (3-18). For the achievement of this result six ROI were created, one for each muscle layer (*Figure 2*). The number of videos of mas-seter muscles in contraction at maximum exertion due to dental clenching made during this research is around 12,000. Out of these we chose 1,200 videos which examine 200 patients (100 female, 100 male). The criteria for the choice of which videos to include in this case study are:

- a) The patients do not have any conditions or pathologies that affect the masticatory muscles or
92% of cases the parts that dilate the most are those corresponding to the middle head, to the central layer of the deep masseter and the internal layer of the superficial masseter and finally the deeper head (both outward and inward layers). The layer that appears to dilate the least is the upper layer of the superficial masseter, which makes sense given the thick aponeurosis that covers it.

Analyzing the measured curves we can see that the difference between the middle head and the central head of the deep masseter and the other layers of the muscle frequently rises above 20%. This part of the muscle seems to function as a sort of expansion chamber (Figure 3).

Deformation Pattern “cross-plane”

We believe this name is to be given to the ability of the muscle to shorten itself during a contraction and represents its third dimension. These results and the ones of the previous pattern are superimposable each other. As the previous case, the apexes of the curves of the various layers do not match exactly. Our experi-
ence shows that the dominant masseter, in patients whose chewing is unilateral or mostly unilateral, always presents a negative cross-plane well centered on the three parts that form the muscle (Figure 4).

Horizontal Strain Pattern

There are a number of interesting observations to make on this type of pattern analysis. During a maximum exertion clenching the deep masseter and the superficial masseter highlight a specific and repeatable behavior. The two layers that form the deep masseter twist themselves with opposite sign and direction, and the same do the outward and inward ends of the deep masseter. The central layer of the deep masseter appears to have little activity from this point of view. On the other hand the deep masseter and the superficial masseter twist themselves with identical sign and direction when observed as follows. The external layer of the superficial masseter and the inward layer of the deep masseter twist in the same direction, and the same happens to the internal layer of the superficial masseter and the outward layer of the deep masseter. In other words, it appears that the strain caused by the clenching of the dental arches implies the activation of a twisting control mechanisms on the horizontal plane that regulate the effects of the notable expansion of the middle section of the muscle. This is a reasonable conclusion taking into account the force applied on the molars (can reach 500-900 newton per square centimeter) and the shape of the mandible (Figure 5).
Horizontal Displacement Pattern

During an isometric contraction the anterior part of the muscle (superficial masseter) and the posterior part (deep masseter) suffer a movement of opposite sign in a dorsal-ventral direction. The middle masseter appears scarcely active in this pattern (Figure 6).

Vertical Displacement Pattern

Figure 7 shows the relative movement in the medio-lateral direction, to be interpreted as opposite in relation to the observations from the ultrasound video of the previous case.

Discussion

The analysis of the deformation patterns of the masseter allows us to observe how the six layers of the muscle have different and specific functions each, which vary depending on the applied force (application point, magnitude and direction) so that we find it impossible to assign to one of the three sections of the muscle a mechanical predominance. Therefore it appears that the three parts of the muscle have specific
and synergistic tasks. For example, the middle masseter and the central layer of the deep masseter seem capable of dilating to a greater extent than the rest of the muscle and therefore act as an expansion chamber. These normally present a cleaner texture in the ultrasound images, both on the surface and internally, which is characterized in the deeper region by the presence of several connective septi. The superficial and deep masseters appear to have an almost exclusive role in the control of the twisting on the horizontal plane, which could be explained by the extension towards the mediolateral direction of the insertion areas. The deformation pattern analysis based on ultrasound videos of the masseter muscle allows to perceive the existence of a complex system of biomechanical adjustments necessary for the management of the strain generated by wearing activities such as deglutition, mastication, phonation, hazardous movements done by habit and parafunctional activities such as teeth grinding. This is of paramount importance also in orthodontics and implantology (19-70). The stress which the masticatory organ is continually subject to depends on the application of extreme and variable external forces administered through teeth coming into contact during deglutition or the interposition of food during masticatory activities. The extreme dental morphology and multiple spatial relations, which for the teeth are established by the undertaking of the normal activities expected of the masticatory organ, presumably require sophisticated biomechanical adjustments done by the various areas and layers that form the masseter muscles. We believe that the analysis of the strain can provide useful contributions to the study of the relationship between dental occlusion and masticatory muscle in terms of formation and management of functional and parafunctional stress and of the compensating effects for muscle and temporomandibular joint usury.
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